

New Jersey Turnpike Authority  
Engineering Department

Order For Professional Services No. P3985  
Preliminary Engineering Services for Operational Improvements between  
Parkway Interchanges 130 and 131

Responses to Inquiries  
Request for Expressions of Interest

1. **Inquiry:**

Page No.8, Subsection A1, Item 4. **A detailed staffing estimate.** Please clarify whether **an estimate of the expected staffing for this OPS** mentioned in the second paragraph will be provided by the Authority or not?

**Response:**

Refer to Addendum No. 1.

2. **Inquiry:**

What, if any contact has NJTA made with CONRAIL pertaining to the replacement of their two bridges and if so, will the extent of contact and any pertinent documentation of conversations/agreements be provided to consultants preparing EOI's?

**Response:**

No contact has been made between the Authority and CONRAIL regarding this OPS. Coordination with other agencies, including CONRAIL, is included in the scope of this OPS.

3. **Inquiry:**

Under Scope of Services, "A. Overview" (page 13 of 57), there are five (5) scope item bullets listed with respect to the March 2020 Evaluation and Analysis Report. The 5th bullet listed in the RFEOI generally states: "Improve existing travel lane and shoulder width to current standards.". Please clarify the intent of scope of work under the 5th bullet. Is it the intent of the NJTA to only evaluate providing standard travel lanes and shoulder widths associated with these Major ramp improvements? Or does this bullet intend for the designer to evaluate the recommended Minor and Intermediate Improvements that were identified in the Report for advancement and further evaluation? The Executive Summary of the Report identifies the recommended Minor and Intermediate Improvements to be: Minor #1 and #3; and Intermediate #2 and #3.

**Response:**

For the 5th bullet list in the RFEOI, the consultant needs to evaluate providing standard travel lanes and shoulder widths associated with the other four (4) bullets. The Minor and Intermediate improvements mentioned in the 2020 report will not be included under OPS P3985.

4. **Inquiry:**

Are the Minor Route 1 Improvements depicted & discussed in the October 2020 report (Measure Nos. 1, 2A, & 2B) included under this project for further analysis and plan development?

**Response:**

No. The Minor and Intermediate Improvements mentioned in the 2020 Report will not be included under OPS P3985. Minor Route 1 Improvements will be addressed under a separate OPS.

5. **Inquiry:**

Can the Authority provide the most recent Bridge Inspection Reports for Structure Nos. 131.1N and 131.1S?

**Response:**

The Inspection Reports for Structure Nos 131.1N and 131.1S are not available. Data Collection from CONRAIL shall be included in the scope of this OPS.